

CONTESSA – LARGE TARGET IDENTIFIED ON GRANITE CONTACT

HIGHLIGHTS

Contact Drilling

- Significant intersections from wide-spaced RC drilling;
 - 20m at 0.69g/t Au from 120m in LNRC097, including
 - 8m at 1g/t Au from 132m
 - 24m at 0.51g/t Au from 116m in LNRC099
 - 4m at 2.35g/t Au from 120m in LNRC095
- LNRC097 and LNRC099 are separated by 1200m of strike.
- Results indicative of very extensive contact-related alteration zone with potential for significant mineralisation
- Delays in laboratory processing and notification of lost samples
- Program to be extended, targeting 1200m of granite contact between Central Park and Contessa.

Supergene Drilling

- RC drilling confirms sub-horizontal oxide gold mineralisation:
 - 12m at 1.46g/t Au from 50m in LNRC083
 - 8m at 1.84g/t Au from 36m in LNRC089
 - 7m at 2.99g/t Au from 58m in LNRC092
 - 4m at 1.5g/t Au from 36m in LNRC086

Lodestar Mineral Limited (“Lodestar” or “the Company”) (ASX:LSR) provides the following update on the RC drilling program completed at Contessa, located on the Ned’s Creek JV with Vango Mining Limited (see Figure 1).

CONTACT DRILLING

Exploration drilling targeted the untested granite contact at Contessa and Central Park with 14 holes over a strike of 600m at Contessa, a further 12 vertical holes were completed to verify historic aircore drilling within the Contessa supergene gold mineralisation (see Lodestar’s ASX announcement dated 22nd November 2021). Assay results have been received for all holes testing the supergene mineralisation, however the Company was recently and belatedly advised by the laboratory of a batch of samples submitted from the contact-related drilling program that have been reported missing. The affected holes include LNRC073 to LNRC078, located along the north-eastern end of the target zone. The backlog affecting laboratories is evidenced by Lodestar’s drill samples submitted in November not being acknowledged for initial processing until February, with a delay in relaying information regarding the missing samples until late last week.

Results have been received for contact exploration holes LNRC079 to LNRC082 and LNRC095 to LNRC101 (see Figures 2, 3 and 4, significant intersections are listed in Table 1). The key result from this program is the confirmation of the granite contact as a priority target. LNRC097 and LNRC099 “bookend” a 1200m contact with no effective drilling between LNRC097 and the Central Park

prospect. LNRC097 and LNRC099 were both drilled off-contact and the main structure of interest has probably not been tested. All the contact drill holes reported anomalous gold (>0.1g/t Au) and a characteristic multi-element signature within a very large hydrothermal alteration halo developed on the granite contact (maximum values of 12g/t Ag, 111ppm Bi, 0.22% Mo, 0.38% Pb and 0.11% W).




Figure 1 Location plan showing Contessa granite and prospect locations.




Figure 2 Drill collar plan.




Figure 3 Cross-section interpretation LNRC099, Central Park. Drilling is off-contact, steep north-west dip to mineralisation assumed.




Figure 4 Cross-section interpretation LNRC097. Drill hole is off-contact.

SUPERGENE ZONE

The supergene drilling program was planned to confirm and better define the limits to the mineralisation (see Figure 2 and Figure 5, significant intersections are listed in Table 1). The results of the drilling program will be evaluated for use in a resource model.




Figure 5 Cross-section interpretation LNRC088 & LNRC089.




Figure 6 Cross-section interpretation LNRC083, LNRC084 & LNRC086.

NEXT STEPS

- As a priority extend RC drilling along the contact between LNRC097 and Central Park. Lodestar will trial the use of deep ground penetrating radar (DGPR) and/or passive seismic to identify the position of the contact where there is no surface expression.
- Contact drill holes LNRC073 to LNRC078 to be re-sampled as soon as possible. Samples will be expedited for processing once at the laboratory.

Table 1 Significant Intersections >0.5g/t Au (low cut 0.35g/t Au and up to 4m internal dilution). Italicised text - supergene drilling; * = off-contact drill hole (granite contact not intersected on section).

HoleID	Easting	Northing	RL	Total Depth	DrillType	Dip	Azimuth	From	To	Au g/t
<i>LNRC083</i>	<i>788048</i>	<i>7192276</i>	<i>574.5</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>RC</i>	<i>-90</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>51</i>	<i>1.24</i>
								<i>51</i>	<i>52</i>	<i>2.67</i>
								<i>52</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>1.25</i>
								<i>54</i>	<i>55</i>	<i>5.15</i>
								<i>56</i>	<i>57</i>	<i>1.8</i>
								<i>57</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>2.07</i>
								<i>59</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>1.58</i>
								<i>60</i>	<i>61</i>	<i>0.587</i>
								<i>61</i>	<i>62</i>	<i>0.767</i>
								<i>73</i>	<i>74</i>	<i>1.63</i>
								<i>89</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>0.633</i>
<i>LNRC084</i>	<i>788101</i>	<i>7192230</i>	<i>573.9</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>RC</i>	<i>-90</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>0.654</i>
<i>LNRC085</i>	<i>788082</i>	<i>7192249</i>	<i>574.1</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>RC</i>	<i>-90</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>49</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0.999</i>
<i>LNRC086</i>	<i>788112</i>	<i>7192241</i>	<i>573.8</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>RC</i>	<i>-90</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>1.5</i>
<i>LNRC088</i>	<i>788149</i>	<i>7192284</i>	<i>574</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>RC</i>	<i>-90</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>32</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>0.954</i>
								<i>49</i>	<i>50</i>	<i>0.528</i>
								<i>57</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>7.05</i>
<i>LNRC089</i>	<i>788164</i>	<i>7192272</i>	<i>574.2</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>RC</i>	<i>-90</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>36</i>	<i>40</i>	<i>1.03</i>
								<i>40</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>2.65</i>
								<i>52</i>	<i>53</i>	<i>1.68</i>
								<i>57</i>	<i>58</i>	<i>1.14</i>
								<i>59</i>	<i>60</i>	<i>0.533</i>
<i>LNRC090</i>	<i>788141</i>	<i>7192331</i>	<i>573.9</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>RC</i>	<i>-90</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>88</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>3.26</i>
<i>LNRC091</i>	<i>788163</i>	<i>7192313</i>	<i>574</i>	<i>90</i>	<i>RC</i>	<i>-90</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>82</i>	<i>83</i>	<i>0.552</i>
								<i>83</i>	<i>84</i>	<i>0.648</i>
								<i>84</i>	<i>85</i>	<i>2.49</i>
								<i>86</i>	<i>87</i>	<i>0.521</i>
								<i>88</i>	<i>89</i>	<i>0.648</i>

HoleID	Easting	Northing	RL	Total Depth	DrillType	Dip	Azimuth	From	To	Au g/t
								89	90	0.886
LNRC092	788188	7192266	574.1	90	RC	-90	0	49	50	1.05
								53	54	0.961
								58	59	3.23
								59	60	2.42
								61	62	4.95
								62	63	9.07
								63	64	0.645
								80	81	0.514
LNRC095	787705	7192282	575.7	153	RC	-60	130	120	124	2.35
LNRC097*	787649	7192205	575.3	153	RC	-60	130	120	124	0.583
								128	132	0.554
								132	136	0.94
								136	140	1.1
LNRC098*	786569	7191582	582	153	RC	-60	130	36	40	1.08
								40	44	0.512
LNRC099*	786575	7191642	581.4	153	RC	-60	130	120	124	0.546
								132	136	0.661
								136	140	0.808
LNRC101	788053	7192650	575.8	147	RC	-60	130	80	84	0.818

This announcement has been authorised by the Managing Director on behalf of the Board of Directors of the Company.

Contacts

Bill Clayton	Media enquiries
Managing Director info@lodestarminerals.com.au +61 8 9435 3200	Michael Vaughan, Fivemark Partners michael.vaughan@fivemark.com.au +61 422 602 720

About Lodestar

Lodestar Minerals is an active Western Australian gold and base metal explorer.

Lodestar's projects comprise the advanced Nepean Nickel Project JV, the Ned's Creek JV and the 100% owned Camel Hills, Imbin, Jubilee Well, Bulong and Coolgardie West projects.

The Imbin Project is a major strategic land holding in the emerging Earaaheedy Province, site of Rumble Resource's recent and potentially world-class Zinc-Lead discoveries. The Imbin Project is located on the northern margin of the prospective basin and is the site of significant historic copper intersections in drilling and approximately 20km of strike of the target Yelma-Frere unconformity

Lodestar discovered multiple zones of syenite intrusion-related gold mineralisation at the Ned's Creek Project on the Yilgarn craton margin, 150km west of Imbin. Vango Mining Limited is earning a 51% interest in the Ned's Creek JV by contributing \$5M of expenditure over 3 years.

Bulong and Jubilee Well are recent acquisitions in highly endowed gold districts; first-pass drill programs are being planned. Coolgardie West, located 12km west of Coolgardie, has potential for greenstone hosted nickel, gold and LCT pegmatite mineralisation.

Competent Person Statement

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Bill Clayton, Managing Director, who is a Member of the Australasian Institute of Geoscientists and has sufficient experience of relevance to the styles of mineralisation and the types of deposits under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr Clayton consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this announcement that relates to previously released exploration results was disclosed under JORC 2012 in the ASX announcements dated

- 11th November 2021 "Exploration Update – Ned's Creek JV".

These announcements are available to view on the Lodestar website. The company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement. The company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

ANNEXURE

Anomalous drill hole intersections >0.1g/t Au

HoleID	Easting	Northing	RL	Total Depth	DrillType	Dip	Azimuth	From	To	Au g/t
LNRC079	787871	7192400	576.1	141	RC	-60	130	24	28	0.204
								88	92	0.446
								92	96	0.26
								100	104	0.115
								120	124	0.121
LNRC080	787832	7192430	576.5	153	RC	-60	130	40	44	0.168
								44	48	0.394
								48	52	0.438
								68	72	0.239
								72	76	0.164
								76	80	0.123
								150	153	0.173
LNRC081	787769	7192357	576.3	160	RC	-60	130	40	44	0.189
								48	52	0.335
								52	56	0.264
								100	104	0.105
								104	108	0.109
LNRC082	787733	7192388	576.8	153	RC	-60	130	76	80	0.166
LNRC083	788048	7192276	574.5	90	RC	-90	0	50	51	1.24
								51	52	2.67
								52	53	1.25
								54	55	5.15
								55	56	0.153
								56	57	1.8
								57	58	2.07
								58	59	0.237
								59	60	1.58
								60	61	0.587
								61	62	0.767
								63	64	0.107
								65	66	0.127
66	67	0.242								
70	71	0.11								
72	73	0.31								
73	74	1.63								

HoleID	Easting	Northing	RL	Total Depth	DrillType	Dip	Azimuth	From	To	Au g/t
								84	85	0.212
								89	90	0.633
LNRC084	788101	7192230	573.9	90	RC	-90	0	36	40	0.654
								40	44	0.339
LNRC085	788082	7192249	574.1	90	RC	-90	0	4	8	0.285
								24	28	0.139
								36	40	0.111
								40	44	0.386
								48	49	0.311
								49	50	0.999
								53	54	0.145
								54	55	0.232
								55	56	0.106
LNRC086	788112	7192241	573.8	90	RC	-90	0	36	40	1.5
								59	60	0.27
LNRC087	788129	7192258	573.8	90	RC	-90	0	40	44	0.289
								49	50	0.483
								50	51	0.295
LNRC088	788149	7192284	574	90	RC	-90	0	32	36	0.954
								40	44	0.224
								44	48	0.233
								48	49	0.454
								49	50	0.528
								50	51	0.264
								51	52	0.133
								52	53	0.224
								57	58	7.05
								63	64	0.319
LNRC089	788164	7192272	574.2	90	RC	-90	0	16	20	0.14
								36	40	1.03
								40	44	2.65
								44	48	0.17
								52	53	1.68
								53	54	0.456
								54	55	0.129
								55	56	0.163
								57	58	1.14

HoleID	Easting	Northing	RL	Total Depth	DrillType	Dip	Azimuth	From	To	Au g/t
								59	60	0.533
								60	61	0.4
								61	62	0.101
								62	63	0.133
								64	65	0.103
LNRC090	788141	7192331	573.9	90	RC	-90	0	53	54	0.266
								88	89	3.26
								89	90	0.183
LNRC091	788163	7192313	574	90	RC	-90	0	48	49	0.193
								63	64	0.412
								64	65	0.33
								65	66	0.218
								66	67	0.395
								72	73	0.122
								82	83	0.552
								83	84	0.648
								84	85	2.49
								85	86	0.465
								86	87	0.521
								88	89	0.648
								89	90	0.886
LNRC092	788188	7192266	574.1	90	RC	-90	0	32	36	0.264
								44	48	0.185
								49	50	1.05
								50	51	0.175
								52	53	0.282
								53	54	0.961
								54	55	0.329
								55	56	0.159
								56	57	0.1
								57	58	0.106
								58	59	3.23
								59	60	2.42
								60	61	0.248
								61	62	4.95
								62	63	9.07
								63	64	0.645

HoleID	Easting	Northing	RL	Total Depth	DrillType	Dip	Azimuth	From	To	Au g/t
								64	65	0.393
								67	68	0.102
								70	71	0.115
								73	74	0.251
								74	75	0.208
								76	77	0.119
								80	81	0.514
LNRC093	788204	7192254	573.8	90	RC	-90	0	56	57	0.243
								57	58	0.104
								58	59	0.116
								59	60	0.23
								60	61	0.231
								61	62	0.248
LNRC095	787705	7192282	575.7	153	RC	-60	130	44	48	0.19
								80	84	0.384
								84	88	0.382
								120	124	2.35
								136	140	0.289
LNRC096	787687	7192303	576.3	153	RC	-60	130	128	132	0.172
LNRC097	787649	7192205	575.3	153	RC	-60	130	72	76	0.304
								84	88	0.121
								120	124	0.583
								124	128	0.28
								128	132	0.554
								132	136	0.94
								136	140	1.1
LNRC098	786569	7191582	582	153	RC	-60	130	28	32	0.287
								36	40	1.08
								40	44	0.512
								44	48	0.135
								56	60	0.156
LNRC099	786575	7191642	581.4	153	RC	-60	130	0	4	0.267
								4	8	0.16
								56	60	0.271
								60	64	0.184
								68	72	0.338
								80	84	0.108

HoleID	Easting	Northing	RL	Total Depth	DrillType	Dip	Azimuth	From	To	Au g/t
								84	88	0.131
								96	100	0.197
								100	104	0.444
								104	108	0.298
								108	112	0.198
								112	116	0.208
								116	120	0.414
								120	124	0.546
								124	128	0.197
								128	132	0.434
								132	136	0.661
								136	140	0.808
								140	144	0.318
								151	153	0.492
LNRC100	786599	7191672	581	153	RC	-60	130	48	52	0.124
								52	56	0.199
								68	72	0.3
								84	88	0.314
								88	92	0.382
								124	128	0.141
								132	136	0.111
								140	144	0.158
								144	148	0.249
LNRC101	788053	7192650	575.8	147	RC	-60	130	32	36	0.249
								36	40	0.252
								40	44	0.1
								48	52	0.224
								68	72	0.238
								76	80	0.271
								80	84	0.818
								100	104	0.118
								108	112	0.119
								120	124	0.385
								124	128	0.245
								132	136	0.123
								140	144	0.168

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> • <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> • <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RC drill holes were sampled at 1m intervals throughout, with 4m composites collected from the angled exploration drill holes targeting the contact. Samples collected from the cyclone were laid in sequence on the ground in rows of 20 (plastic bags for vertical holes testing the supergene mineralisation). • Sample representivity is maintained by placing the samples in a pre-numbered calico bag with a corresponding sample book entry, maintaining dry sampling and good drilling practice. Certified reference materials, field duplicates and laboratory repeat samples are analysed routinely. • 1m RC samples were collected as a 2.5kg split in calico bags attached to the on-board cone splitter. Composite 4m metre samples were collected by spearing the pile using a PVC spear and combined to create a 2.5 to 3.0kg composite sample. The samples were submitted to a commercial laboratory for drying, crushing, and pulverising to produce a 40g charge for fire assay of gold or aqua regia digest for gold and multi-elements by multi-acid digest..
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face- sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RC drilling using a 5.5" face sampling hammer. • RC holes were surveyed with a north-seeking gyro survey tool.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> • <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> • <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample recoveries and wet samples were monitored and recorded qualitatively in Lodestar's drill hole database. Recoveries were generally 80 -100% and less than 1% were reported as wet samples. • High pressure air used to maintain a dry sample and drill sampling equipment was cleaned regularly to minimise contamination. • A relationship between sample recoveries and grade is not evident.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> • <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chip samples were routinely geologically logged throughout the hole. • Logging is qualitative in nature. • All RC holes are geologically logged in full.
Sub-sampling techniques and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No core samples taken. • Individual 1m split samples collected

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<p>from the cone splitter or composites of 1m chip piles are submitted for assay. Most samples were dry. Selected intervals were composited from 1m bulk samples to produce a 2.5kg 4m composite using a PVC spear. All samples for assay are stored in pre-numbered bags and submitted to Bureau Veritas Laboratories for sample preparation and analysis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample preparation for drill samples involves drying the whole sample, crushing to 3mm and pulverising to 90% passing -75 microns. The pulverised sample was split with a rotary sample divider to obtain a 40 gram charge. Duplicate field samples (1:20), certified reference standards (1:20), blanks (1:20) and laboratory repeats are used to monitor satisfactory reproducibility. • Sample size is appropriate for early exploration drilling where mineral grainsize is unknown.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The aqua regia digest is considered a partial digest for refractory minerals but is widely used for gold analysis. The fire assay method is a standard method for gold and approaches a total analysis. Multi-elements were analysed after a multi-acid digest that will bring most refractory minerals into solution. • No geophysical tools were used to determine any element concentrations. • Reference standards, duplicates and blanks were inserted at 1:20 throughout the program. The results indicate satisfactory accuracy and precision.
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant intersections have not been verified by alternate personnel. • Twinned holes were not drilled in this program. • Field and laboratory data are collected electronically and entered into a relational database. Data collection protocols are recorded in Lodestar's operation manual. • Assay data has not been adjusted, other than application of a low cut of 0.35g/t Au when calculating intercepts.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A hand-held GPS has been used to locate the drillholes with estimated 3-5m accuracy. • Drill hole coordinates were recorded in MGA94 Zone 50 grid. • The topography within prospect areas is generally flat. In the Contessa and Gidgee Flat areas drill hole collar RL's have been adjusted to the DEM surface derived from a detailed aeromagnetic

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		survey using Bendix/King radar altimeter equipment with a resolution of 0.3m.
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill holes targeting the Contessa contact were placed at a nominal hole spacing of 100m (northeast-southwest) and 50m (northwest-southeast) and the holes targeting supergene mineralisation were placed 40m to 20m apart.. • RC drilling of the supergene mineralisation is of sufficient density to be used in MRE. • Sample compositing over 4m intervals throughout the contact drilling program. Drill hole intercepts were calculated using a low cut of 0.35g/t Au and internal dilution of up to 4m.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> • <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At Contessa the contact mineralisation is believed to dip towards the north based on limited drilling. • Drilling is oriented perpendicular to strike and true thickness is interpreted to be approximately 0.6x drill hole intercepts.
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were stored at Lodestar's exploration camp in sealed bags under supervision prior to dispatch by Lodestar contractors and registered courier from Meekatharra to Bureau Veritas Laboratories in Canning Vale.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No audits or reviews have been carried out.
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> • <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contessa is located on E52/2456, within Lodestar's Ned's Creek project. Vango Mining Limited are earning a 51% interest in the Ned's Creek project by spending \$5M. The tenement is owned by Audacious Resources, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Lodestar Minerals and expires on 16/09/2022. The tenement is within the native title claim WC99/46 of the Yugunga-Nya Group. Lodestar has signed a Heritage Agreement with the traditional owners to carry out mineral exploration on the tenement. • Contessa may extend into M52/780. Lodestar earned an 80% interest in the tenement and the tenement is included in the Ned's Creel JV with Vango Mining. The tenements are held by Vango Mining Limited and Dampier (Plutonic) Pty Ltd (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vango Mining Limited). M52/780 expires on 26/09/2034 (VANGO 60/100:DAMPIER 40/100).

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> M52/780 is located within the Yugunga Nya people native title claim WAD6132/1998. Exploration commenced at McDonald Well in the late 1960's, WMC explored for Zambian Copper Belt style mineralisation and completed regional geological mapping and sampling, followed by minor percussion drilling. CRA Exploration completed regional mapping and auger sampling, also at McDonald Well. No significant anomalies were identified on the tenements. Minor exploration drilling by Barrick and CRA Exploration east and south of Contessa intersected ultramafic lithologies, confirming the extent of the greenstone sequence in this area. There has been no material exploration by other parties over the Contessa area. Gold exploration in the Plutonic Well greenstone belt commenced in 1986. Marymia Exploration, in their 1994 report, declares that there had been little or no previous exploration within the Yowereena tenements.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The geology of the project area comprises the northern margin of the Proterozoic Yerrida Basin. The geology forms two discrete units; Proterozoic sediments of the Yerrida Basin that are prospective for sediment-hosted copper and base metal mineralisation in black shale and carbonate sequences, with evidence of secondary and primary copper mineralisation in the Thaduna district, overlie Archaean basement rocks on the northern margin of the Yerrida Basin. The basement-sediment contact trends east-west and Lodestar's exploration has identified extensive gold anomalism adjacent to this contact. The basement consists of granite and fringing mafic to intermediate and ultramafic rocks that have minimal outcrop. The mafic-ultramafic rocks and the adjacent granite that hosts gold mineralisation are thought to be Archaean in age. Identification of syenite-hosted, intrusion-related gold mineralisation at Brumby and Gidgee Flat indicates that this region differs from other lode gold occurrences in the Plutonic Well greenstone belt and the surrounding Proterozoic fold belt and does not form part of the adjacent Marymia Inlier.
Drill hole information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tabulated drillhole data is provided in Table 1 and the Annexure. Northing and easting data generally within 3-5m accuracy RL data +0.3m

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p>information for all Material drill holes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar ○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level - elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar ○ dip and azimuth of the hole ○ down hole length and interception depth ○ hole length. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Down hole length \pm 0.1 m
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail. The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A low cut of 0.35g/t Au has been applied when calculating drill intercepts, no high cut has been applied and internal dilution of up to 4m is included.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported. • If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known'). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drilling of the contact zone was oriented towards 130 degrees, perpendicular to the regional strike of stratigraphy. Measurement of foliation in the area indicates steep dips. Mineralisation is interpreted to dip steeply to the north west with true thickness approximately 60% of drill hole intersections. Supergene drilling intersected flat-lying mineralisation and RC drill hole intersections approximate true thickness.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refer Figures 2-6 for drillhole locations and cross section interpretations.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Significant drill intersections and extensive anomalous results are reported.
Other substantive exploration data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None to report.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></p>	
<p>Further Work</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> • <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RC drilling has confirmed a large hydrothermal alteration system on the southern margin of the Contessa granite. Drilling of the alteration system has intersected significant gold mineralisation associated with strongly elevated multi-element geochemistry, consistent with the surface geochemical data that identified the Contessa area as a target. The contact remains open along strike and requires systematic drill testing.